

THE POA CONSIDERS INSTALLING SPEED HUMPS THAT WE REJECTED YEARS AGO

Watch the video of the last POA Board meeting 4/9/2018 on [“Watch POA Videos”](#). Near the end of the meeting there was a relapse of an old sickening power grab of the few. *The board members decided to install speed humps while they are refurbishing the community roads.* This writer was there and objected on your behalf. I reminded the Board that the community rejected the ambush of waking up one morning and having the speed **“Humps”** installed without a **“Home Owner Consent”**. The Home Owners forced the POA to remove the humps at a cost larger than what the installation costs were. **DO WE HAVE TO GO THROUGH ALL OF THIS AGAIN?**

Beware of the problems and dangers of having a few unsophisticated board members wield their power. Without doing an in-depth study with experts, they decided at the end of the meeting. *You are there and are witness to the lack of investigation of such an onerous, costly and dangerous welfare decision.* Need we say anything more? This will make life more difficult and create dangers to life threatening incidents. Ambulance and Paramedic responses are a way of life in a retirement community. Ambulances are more common than speeders. That is the bottom line for not having any impediments to emergencies. **When someone’s life is on the line, speed is of the essence.**

We never had an accident due to speeding in the community. Even with speed humps there will always be some who speed. Do we endanger the majority for the few bad apples? Our members that need emergency services from first responders cannot be inhibited by ill-advised board members who don’t have a clue, only a passion to do foolish things.

First responders such as Paramedics, Ambulance Drivers, Fire Trucks and Police all are against such impediments to emergency speeds to help the afflicted. If Humps are installed, it takes deadly precious time from the furthest point to the gate and back again. **A person having an attack that could cause death needs that extra time for their survival. Would you like to be in that type of scenario?**

The issue needs the vote of our Home Owner Equity membership. We decide what is best for us and our safety. When I approached the subject to the board members they relied on Natalie Mitchell’s remarks that a vote is not a requirement. Mitchell is not a member of the POA she is an employee as a property manager. Her input is of no significance and must be overruled by any **POA dues paying Home Equity Member.** Mitchell claims it is a maintenance

issue. **Building anything new is not a maintenance issue.** Building something new is a voting issue and having a property manager giving deceptive advice is a sign that we need someone better as a consultant. Or do we need one at all?

Article IV POWER AND DUTIES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS. Subsection G. *To make expenditures for Capital improvement and assess the members therefore limited to no more than \$15,000 on any single project.* That is the crux of my argument to the board. It is up to you the membership to make it known to the POA that we do not want to intentionally harm our emergency first response team if we need them. We should all have the same advantage when we require lifesaving services. One never knows when that moment might come to pass! Is this just another extension of another board that feels.....

WE ARE THE BOARD AND CAN DO AS WE PLEASE